

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,161	11/26/2001	Sidharth Dalmia	062004-1950	9349
24504	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			NGUYEN, TUYI:N T	
100 GALLEI STE 1750	RIA PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-5948		2832	-
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/995,161	DALMIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TUYEN T NGUYEN					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover st	eet with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims AND Claim(s) 1 125 is/are pending in the application	.					
 4) Claim(s) 1-125 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 		an a				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT ITOTAL CONSIDERATIO	л.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-125</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International BuSee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(stice of Informal Patent Application (PTC ner:				

Application/Control Number: 09/995,161

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 23-59, drawn to a substrate, classified in class 361, subclass 761.
- II. Claims 12-22 and 60-96, drawn to a method of fabricating a substrate, classified in class 29, subclass 832.
- III. Claims 97-105, drawn to a computer program, classified in class 717, subclass 151.
- IV. Claims 106-125, drawn to a system for optimizing the design of an integrated inductor, classified in class ***, subclass ***.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions [I] and [II] are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the substrate can be made by using a print screening process.

Inventions [I] and [III-IV] are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention [I] has separate utility such as a substrate not using the computer program of invention [III] or the optimizing system of invention [IV]; invention [III] has separate utility such as the computer program not using the substrate of

Application/Control Number: 09/995,161

Art Unit: 2832

invention [I] or the system of invention [IV]; invention [IV] has separate utility such as the

Page 3

system not using the substrate of invention [II] or the computer program of invention [III]. See

MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

figure 10;

Embodiment 2:

figure 13;

Embodiment 3:

figure 14;

Embodiment 4:

figure 15;

Embodiment 5:

figure 16;

Embodiment 6:

figure 17;

Embodiment 7:

figure 20;

Embodiment 8:

figure 21;

Embodiment 9:

figure 22;

Embodiment 10:

figure 23;

Embodiment 11:

figure 24;

Application/Control Number: 09/995,161

Art Unit: 2832

• :

Embodiment 12:

figure 25;

Embodiment 13:

figure 26;

Embodiment 14:

figure 27; and

Embodiment 15:

figure 28.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-308-0821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TTN TW

Trugen Nguyen